

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 09/30/10
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---|--------------------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: <div>5</div> | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | <div>95.13</div> % | <div>96.47</div> % | <div>4</div> | <div>95.51</div> % | <div>45</div> | <div>48</div> | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | <div>87.15</div> % | <div>93.27</div> % | <div>5</div> | <div>87.58</div> % | <div>42</div> | <div>41</div> | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | <div>1.73</div> | <div>4.23</div> | <div>3</div> | <div>4.86</div> | <div>30</div> | <div>23</div> | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | <div>100.00</div> % | <div>99.00</div> % | <div>1</div> | <div>82.17</div> % | <div>100</div> | <div>100</div> | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | <div>68.84</div> % | <div>87.41</div> % | <div>5</div> | <div>71.17</div> % | <div>36</div> | <div>38</div> | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | <div>76.64</div> % | <div>83.14</div> % | <div>4</div> | <div>81.54</div> % | <div>30</div> | <div>29</div> | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | <div>4.67</div> % | <div>39.57</div> % | <div>5</div> | <div>45.68</div> % | <div>0</div> | <div>1</div> | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | <div>100.00</div> % | <div>97.66</div> % | <div>1</div> | <div>96.19</div> % | <div>100</div> | <div>100</div> | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: <div>5</div> / <div>5</div> | | Nationally: <div>25</div> <div>22</div> | | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | <div>\$8,306</div> | <div>\$16,919</div> | | <div>\$27,510</div> | <div>107</div> Units | <div>10.90</div> % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | <div>\$14,149</div> | <div>\$19,110</div> | | <div>\$15,239</div> | <div>862</div> Units | <div>88.10</div> % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | <div>\$23,499</div> | <div>\$24,603</div> | | <div>\$20,932</div> | <div>9</div> Units | <div>0.90</div> % | |
| TBRA Unit | <div>\$0</div> | <div>\$2,284</div> | | <div>\$3,121</div> | <div>0</div> Units | <div>0.00</div> % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (215 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Kansas City KS

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$11,009 | \$66,500 | \$11,603 |
| State:* | \$77,874 | \$70,872 | \$24,519 |
| National:** | \$98,643 | \$77,150 | \$23,791 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 2.8 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.99

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | |
| White: | 40.2 | 33.5 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 28.0 | 39.2 | 77.8 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 2.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

ETHNICITY:

| | | | | |
|----------|--|--|---|---|
| Hispanic | 29.0 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|----------|--|--|---|---|

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 1 Person: | 3.7 | 31.3 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| 2 Persons: | 73.8 | 19.8 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| 3 Persons: | 3.7 | 21.6 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 15.9 | 15.3 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| 5 Persons: | 1.9 | 7.1 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.9 | 2.9 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Single/Non-Elderly: | 30.8 | 34.5 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| Elderly: | 4.7 | 2.3 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| Related/Single Parent: | 31.8 | 24.9 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| Related/Two Parent: | 22.4 | 33.9 | 44.4 | 0.0 |
| Other: | 10.3 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

| | | |
|----------------|--|---|
| Section 8: | 1.9 | 0.2 # |
| HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | |
| Other: | 0.0 | |
| No Assistance: | 98.1 | |

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 1

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Kansas City State: KS Group Rank: 25
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 0 / 0 PJs Overall Rank: 22
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 73.95% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 54.12% | 68.84 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 76.64 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.11% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.750 | 2.53 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

